

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,520 10/16/2003		10/16/2003	Vladimir Kochergin	340-80	4900	
23117	7590	05/11/2006		EXAMINER		
		ERHYE, PC	KIANNI, KAVEH C			
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ			- 2883		
			•	DATE MAILED, 05/11/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 05/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/686,520	KOCHERGIN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Kianni C. Kaveh	2883			

	Kianni C. Kaveh	2883							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		=							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing daily	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee						
NOTICE OF APPEAL									
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	30000						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: 8-10. 15. 17, 18,21. 23,24.31-34.38-4	1,44-46 and 49-59s) rejected: <u>1-7.</u>	11-14, 16.19.20,22.25	<u>5-28.35-</u>						
37.42.43.47.60 and 61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a						
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nca hacausa:						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
KAVEH KIANNI									
		RY EXAMINER							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly inserted limitations into claims such as "passive" and/or "substantially mutually decoupled and thus independent waveguides", would require further considerations and/or search.

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER